

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,586	07/07/2003	Shinichi Horii	9792909-5656	7670	
· 7590 07/23/2004			EXAMINER		
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL			DUDDING,	DUDDING, ALFRED E	
80th Floor - Sears Towers 233 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2853		
		DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Commence	10/614,586	HORII ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alfred E. Dudding	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 June 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-6.32 and 33 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 32 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the original than the correction of the original than the origina	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>09/925,154</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/614,586 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tatsumi et al. in view of Matsumoto (JP-2000263768 A).

Tatsumi et al. disclose a print head having at least ink-pressurizing cells, Figure 2, elements 210 a-d (printheads), heating elements, Figure 4, element 51, and ink-ejection nozzles, Figures 3 and 4, element 41, the print head comprising a substrate member which forms side surfaces and one end surface of the ink-pressurizing cells and which is provided with the heating elements; Figure 3, element 46, a nozzle-formed member which forms the other end surface of the ink-pressurizing cells, Figure 4, element 40, and in which the ink-ejection nozzles, which individually correspond to the ink-pressurizing cells, are formed; a head frame which supports the nozzle formed member; Figure 12, element 320, and a plurality of substrate units, each of which includes one or more substrate members, are provided for individually ejecting inks pf different colors, and wherein the substrate members included in the substrate units are attached to a single nozzle-formed member, Figure 12, elements 310 a-d.

Tatsumi et al. fail to teach the claimed invention of a printer or a printhead in which the head frame has the same coefficient of linear expansion as the substrate member.

Art Unit: 2853

Matsumoto discloses that the head frame, Figure 1, element 20, has the same coefficient of linear expansion as the substrate member, Figure 1, element 30.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use materials in which the coefficient of linear expansion of the head frame the same as that of the substrate member in order to prevent print quality from deteriorating as the printhead changes temperature.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1 and 4 - 6 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 24 June 2004, with respect to claims 1 and 4 - 6 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1 and 4 - 6 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2853

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Dudding whose telephone number is (571) 272-2144. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier, AU 2853, can be reached at (571) 272 - 2149. The fax phone number for this Group is are (703) 872-9306.

The examiner's fax phone is (571) 273 – 2144.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

> Stephen D. Meier **Primary Examiner**

Alfred Dudding

au

7/15/04